Markov Chain Monte Carlo Exact Inference for Binomial & Multinomial Logistic Regression Models

Jon Forster, Mac McDonald & Peter Smith

**University of Southampton, UK** 

bigmac@soton.ac.uk

http://www.maths.soton.ac.uk/staff/JJForster/paper.html

# THE PROBLEM

Exact conditional inference

- tests, particularly goodness-of-fit (GOF) tests
- residual analysis
- confidence intervals

for binomial & multinomial logistic regression models

$$Y_i \sim \text{binomial}(m_i, \pi_i)$$
  $i = 1, \dots, n$ 

Compare

$$M_0$$
: logit $(\boldsymbol{\pi}) = X \boldsymbol{eta}$ 

with

$$M_1: \operatorname{logit}(\boldsymbol{\pi}) = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + Z\boldsymbol{\gamma}$$

 $M_1$  is saturated (GOF test) if  $\operatorname{rank}(X,Z) = n$ 

# EXACT CONDITIONAL INFERENCE FOR $\gamma$

Based on the distribution of (or some 1-dim function of)

$$Z^T \boldsymbol{y} | X^T \boldsymbol{y} = X^T \boldsymbol{y}_{obs}$$

a margin of

$$\boldsymbol{y}|X^T\boldsymbol{y} = X^T\boldsymbol{y}_{obs}$$

f(successes | sufficient statistics for  $\beta$ )

Conditional distribution of the vector of responses y, given  $X^T y$ , the vector of sufficient statistics for  $\beta$ , is

$$f(oldsymbol{y}|oldsymbol{X}^Toldsymbol{y}=oldsymbol{X}^Toldsymbol{y}_{obs};oldsymbol{\gamma})\propto \exp(oldsymbol{\gamma}^Toldsymbol{Z}^Toldsymbol{y})\prod_{i=1}^n \left(egin{array}{c} m_i\ y_i\ y_i\end{array}
ight)$$

Inference by

enumeration

• (Markov Chain) Monte Carlo sampling

followed by marginalization

# **CONDITIONAL DISTRIBUTION FOR INFERENCE**

- uniform when  $m_i = 1$  for all i
- GOF test for pure binary data is not sensible
- degenerate for continuous covariates, since only  $y_{obs}$  satisfies the conditioning constraints
- not usually degenerate when covariate values are integer or evenly spaced

# **METROPOLIS-HASTINGS SAMPLING**

- 1. Given current value  ${m y}$ , generate a new value  ${m y}'$  from some probability distribution  $q({m y}, {m y}')$
- 2. Accept  ${m y}'$  as the next realization of the chain with probability  $a({m y}, {m y}')$ , where

$$a(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{y}') = \min\left\{\frac{f(\boldsymbol{y}')q(\boldsymbol{y}', \boldsymbol{y})}{f(\boldsymbol{y})q(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{y}')}, 1\right\}$$

otherwise, retain  $oldsymbol{y}$ 

Provided q is chosen appropriately, then f is the stationary distribution distribution for this chain

#### SIMPLE LINEAR LOGISTIC REGRESSION

$$\operatorname{logit}(\pi_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i \qquad i = 1, \dots, 6$$

Covariate with integer values:  $x_i = i$ 

Sufficient statistics  $\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y}_{obs} = (s_0, s_1)^T$ 

• 
$$s_0 = \Sigma y_i$$
 for  $\beta_0$ 

• 
$$s_1 = \Sigma x_i y_i$$
 for  $\beta_1$ 

Let  $\boldsymbol{y}' = \boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{v}$  such that  $\Sigma y'_i = s_0 \ \& \ \Sigma x_i y'_i = s_1$ 

| $x_i$ | $m_i$      | 71.      |           |            |  |
|-------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|--|
|       |            | $g_{i}$  | $v_i$     | $y'_i$     |  |
| 1     | 20         | 1        | -1        | 0          |  |
| 2     | 20         | 4        | 2         | 6          |  |
| 3     | 20         | 9        | -1        | 8          |  |
| 4     | 20         | 13       | 0         | 13         |  |
| 5     | 20         | 18       | 0         | 18         |  |
| 6     | 20         | 20       | 0         | 20         |  |
| าus r | maintainin | g the su | ufficient | statistics |  |

#### **PROPOSED METROPOLIS-HASTINGS ALGORITHM**

- enumerate all integer m v that satisfy  $m X^Tm v=m 0$  and  $\Sigma |v_i| \leq r$  for some even r, typically 4, 6 or 8
- generate a  $\boldsymbol{v}$  uniformly & generate integer d from

$$g_D(d|\boldsymbol{v}) \propto \exp(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{Z}^T \{\boldsymbol{y} + d\boldsymbol{v}\}) \prod_{i=1}^n \left( egin{array}{c} m_i \\ y_i + dv_i \end{array} 
ight)$$
 (1)

- set  $oldsymbol{y}' = oldsymbol{y} + doldsymbol{v}$ , where  $0 \leq y'_i \leq m_i$  for all i
- most  $v_i$  are zero so (1) a product of at most r + 1 terms, so the support of d is typically small

#### DOSE-RESPONSE DATA

| log-dose | $m_{i}$ | $y_i$ | $x_i$ |              |
|----------|---------|-------|-------|--------------|
| 0.301    | 19      | 19    | 1     |              |
| 0.000    | 20      | 18    | 0     |              |
| -0.301   | 19      | 19    | -1    |              |
| -0.602   | 21      | 14    | -2    |              |
| -0.903   | 19      | 15    | -3    |              |
| -1.208   | 20      | 4     | -4    | $-4\epsilon$ |
| -1.509   | 16      | 0     | -5    | $-4\epsilon$ |
| -1.807   | 19      | 0     | -6    | $-\epsilon$  |
| -2.108   | 40      | 0     | -7    | $-\epsilon$  |
| -2.710   | 81      | 2     | -9    | $-\epsilon$  |

# **DOSE-RESPONSE DATA**

- taken from Bedrick and Hill (1990)
- tumorigenicity of benzepyrene in mice
- doses *almost* equally spaced on log-dose scale
- exact results available for comparison

Bedrick, EJ & Hill, JR (1990) Outlier tests for logistic regression: A conditional approach *Biometrika* **77** 815–827

Test statistics & p-values for dose-response data

|       | observed |    | asymptotic | estimated           | exact   |
|-------|----------|----|------------|---------------------|---------|
|       | value    | df | p-value    | exact p-values      | p-value |
| $L^2$ | 26.679   | 8  | 0.001      | $0.0064 \pm 0.0008$ | 0.006   |
| $X^2$ | 32.096   | 8  | 0.000      | $0.0116 \pm 0.0009$ | 0.013   |

- estimated p-values based on sample of one million
- approximate 99% CI used method of batch means
- exact p-values from Bedrick and Hill
- MCMC estimates in good agreement with the exact p-values

# IRREDUCIBILITY

How to choose v so that any y satisfying conditioning constraints can be reached by the chain?

- results for special cases, e.g. equally-spaced covariate
- Gröbner basis approach (Diaconis & Sturmfels, 1998)
  - $\diamond$  sufficient set of moves
  - ◊ computationally demanding

How important is irreducibility in practice?

# **GREYING OF HAIR AND MORTALITY**

- 469 adult Mexicans scored on hair greyness in 1948:
  - 1 none, 2 slight, 3 moderate, 4 general
- age groups: 17–24, 25–29,..., 70–74, 75+
- 65 distinct covariate patterns
- response: natural death between 1948 and 1969

Lasker, GW & Kaplan, B (1974) Graying of the hair and mortality *Social Biology* **21** 290–295

|       | greyness | no    | one   | sli   | ght   | moo   | derate | ger   | neral |
|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
|       | age      | $y_i$ | $m_i$ | $y_i$ | $m_i$ | $y_i$ | $m_i$  | $y_i$ | $m_i$ |
|       | 1        | 1     | 46    | 0     | 1     |       |        |       |       |
|       | 2        | 1     | 29    |       |       |       |        |       |       |
|       | 3        | 3     | 23    | 0     | 3     |       |        |       |       |
|       | 4        | 4     | 33    | 3     | 7     |       |        |       |       |
| nales | 5        | 2     | 12    | 3     | 12    | 0     | 2      |       |       |
| iaics | 6        | 1     | 12    | 5     | 15    | 3     | 7      | 0     | 2     |
|       | 7        | 1     | 1     | 3     | 16    | 0     | 1      | 5     | 8     |
|       | 8        | 1     | 2     | 5     | 6     | 1     | 4      | 3     | 9     |
|       | 9        | 0     | 3     | 1     | 4     | 3     | 6      | 3     | 6     |
|       | 10       |       |       |       |       | 2     | 3      | 3     | 5     |
|       | 11       |       |       | 1     | 1     | 2     | 2      | 3     | 4     |
|       | 12       |       |       |       |       | 2     | 2      | 3     | 3     |

|           | greyness | no    | one     | sli   | ght   | moo   | derate | ger   | neral |
|-----------|----------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
|           | age      | $y_i$ | $m_{i}$ | $y_i$ | $m_i$ | $y_i$ | $m_i$  | $y_i$ | $m_i$ |
|           | 1        | 2     | 34      |       |       |       |        |       |       |
|           | 2        | 0     | 21      | 0     | 1     |       |        |       |       |
|           | 3        | 1     | 13      |       |       |       |        |       |       |
|           | 4        | 0     | 23      | 0     | 5     | 0     | 1      |       |       |
| females   | 5        | 0     | 11      | 0     | 2     | 1     | 1      | 1     | 1     |
| ICITIAICS | 6        | 0     | 8       | 4     | 7     |       |        | 0     | 3     |
|           | 7        | 0     | 3       | 1     | 7     | 0     | 2      | 1     | 4     |
|           | 8        | 1     | 2       | 0     | 6     | 1     | 4      | 3     | 7     |
|           | 9        | 1     | 1       | 0     | 2     |       |        | 1     | 1     |
|           | 10       |       |         | 0     | 1     | 0     | 2      | 0     | 1     |
|           | 11       |       |         | 1     | 1     |       |        | 2     | 2     |
|           | 12       |       |         | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1      |       |       |

# **GREYING OF HAIR AND MORTALITY ...** • age: equally-spaced covariate (1 to 12) • greyness score: equally-spaced covariate (1 to 4) • estimated exact p-values suggest better fit than do asymptotic p-values • reject the SEX+AGE+GREY model at the 5% level using the asymptotic p-value for $L^2$ , but not using the estimated exact p-value

#### **GREYING OF HAIR AND MORTALITY ...**

Test statistics and p-values for grey hair data

|                    | observed      |    | asymptotic | estimated           |
|--------------------|---------------|----|------------|---------------------|
| test               | statistic     | df | p-value    | exact p-value       |
| Goodness of fit of | $L^2 = 87.80$ | 62 | 0.0172     | $0.0487 \pm 0.0059$ |
| SEX+AGE            | $X^2 = 85.81$ | 62 | 0.0244     | $0.0518 \pm 0.0054$ |
| Goodness of fit of | $L^2 = 84.01$ | 61 | 0.0270     | $0.0959 \pm 0.0091$ |
| SEX+AGE+GREY       | $X^2 = 77.05$ | 61 | 0.0806     | $0.0973 \pm 0.0089$ |

#### **TEST AGAINST NON-SATURATED ALTERNATIVE**

To compare the two models

- extract from the Markov chain for the SEX+AGE model a sample of the sufficient statistic for the greyness score parameter
- 2. estimate exact p-value by ranking observed value of sufficient statistic,  $\boldsymbol{Z}^T \boldsymbol{y}_{obs} = 235$ , among this sample

Against the one-sided alternative that hair greyness is deleterious,  $\hat{p}=0.0314\pm0.0068$ 

#### **RESIDUAL ANALYSIS FOR AGE + SEX MODEL**

Standardized deviance residuals and p-values for grey hair data

|         |       | covariate |          | asymptotic | estimated     | support |
|---------|-------|-----------|----------|------------|---------------|---------|
| $y_i$ ( | $m_i$ | values    | residual | p-value    | exact p-value | points  |
| 0       | 3     | M 9 0     | -2.229   | 0.0258     | 0.0878        | 4       |
| 3       | 7     | M $4$ 1   | 2.008    | 0.0446     | 0.0484        | 6       |
| 4       | 7     | F $6$ 1   | 2.703    | 0.0068     | 0.0075        | 7       |
| 1       | 1     | F $5\ 2$  | 2.161    | 0.0306     | 0.0978        | 2       |
| 1       | 1     | F $5$ $3$ | 2.161    | 0.0306     | 0.1000        | 2       |

## **RESIDUAL ANALYSIS FOR AGE + SEX MODEL**

- $\hat{p}$  calculated using the empirical distribution of the residuals extracted from the MCMC sample used to test goodness of fit
- no  $\hat{p}$  for the 65 residuals indicates that the lack of fit is due to a small number of extreme cases
- asymptotic p-values closest to  $\hat{p}$  for the empirical distributions with largest numbers of support points

#### MONTE CARLO EXACT CONFIDENCE INTERVAL

Monte Carlo exact inference is based on a sample generated from

$$f(oldsymbol{y}|oldsymbol{X}^Toldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{X}^Toldsymbol{y}_{obs};oldsymbol{\gamma}) \propto \exp(oldsymbol{\gamma}^Toldsymbol{Z}^Toldsymbol{y}) \prod_{i=1}^n \left(egin{array}{c} m_i \ y_i \end{array}
ight)$$

For scalar  $\gamma$ , an exact p-value for  $H_{\gamma}$  is estimated using a tail area of the empirical distribution of  $\boldsymbol{Z}^T \boldsymbol{y}$ 

# MONTE CARLO EXACT CI...

- lower (upper) end point of an exact  $(1 2\alpha)$  CI for  $\gamma$ can be estimated by finding the value  $\gamma^0$  such that the observed value of  $Z^T y$  is the upper (lower)  $\alpha$ -quantile of the empirical distribution
- given a sample for  $\gamma = \gamma^*$ , the exact p-value under  $H_{\gamma}$ :  $\gamma = \gamma^0$  can be estimated by weighting the sample by  $\exp\{(\gamma^0 \gamma^*) Z^T y\}$

# MONTE CARLO EXACT CI ...

- in principle, a grid search for both end points of a CI may be based on a single Monte Carlo sample
- a natural choice is  $\gamma^*=0,$  if a Monte Carlo test of  $\gamma=0$  has already been performed
- alternatively,  $\gamma^* = \hat{\gamma}$ , the MLE, is a value which is supported by the observed data

# EXACT CI FOR GREYNESS SCORE PARAMETER

• estimated exact 95% CI is

 $\diamond~(-0.015, 0.613)~\mathrm{using}~\gamma^*=0$ 

 $\diamond \ (-0.010, 0.600) \text{ using } \gamma^* = \widehat{\gamma} = 0.295$ 

- ullet show relatively little sensitivity to the choice of  $\gamma^*$
- are similar to the asymptotic CI (-0.001, 0.592)

- polytomous response with categories  $0, \ldots, K$
- *i*th observation represented by the K + 1 counts  $(y_{i0}, y_{i1}, \ldots, y_{iK}), i = 1, \ldots, n,$ with the total count  $m_i = \sum_{k=0}^{K} y_{ik}$ , assumed fixed
- $\mathbf{Y} = (y_{ik})$  is  $n \times K$  matrix of responses, where k runs from 1
- denote the K columns of  $m{Y}$  by  $m{y}_1,\ldots,m{y}_K$  with  $m{y}_0=m{m}-\sum_{k=1}^Km{y}_k$  where  $m{m}=(m_1,\ldots,m_n)^T$

baseline-category multinomial logistic regression model, with baseline category 0, is

$$\log\left(\frac{\pi_{ik}}{\pi_{i0}}\right) = \boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_k + \boldsymbol{z}_i^T \boldsymbol{\gamma}_k$$
(2)

When the response is ordinal, it may be more

appropriate to express this model as the equivalent

adjacent-category model

$$\log\left(\frac{\pi_{ik}}{\pi_{ik-1}}\right) = \boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_k' + \boldsymbol{z}_i^T \boldsymbol{\gamma}_k'$$
(3)

Hirji, KF (1992) Computing exact distributions for polytomous response data. *JASA*, **87** 487-492

considered the baseline-category multinomial logistic regression model

$$\log\left(\frac{\pi_{ik}}{\pi_{i0}}\right) = \theta_k + \boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{z}_i^T \boldsymbol{\gamma}$$
(4)

and the adjacent-category model

$$\log\left(\frac{\pi_{ik}}{\pi_{ik-1}}\right) = \theta_k + \boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{z}_i^T \boldsymbol{\gamma}$$
 (5)

which are more parsimonious than (2) and (3) as the regression parameters do not depend on the category

- binomial is a special case of the multinomial where  $K=1,\, {m y}_1={m y}$  and  ${m y}_0={m m}-{m y}$
- each proposed step of our binomial algorithm may be thought of as addition of  $d\boldsymbol{v}$  to  $\boldsymbol{y}_1$  together with subtraction of  $d\boldsymbol{v}$  from  $\boldsymbol{y}_0$

- K+1 vectors of outcomes,  $oldsymbol{y}_0, oldsymbol{y}_0, \dots, oldsymbol{y}_K$
- a proposal is obtained by selecting at random an integer m v such that  $m X^Tm v=m 0$  and an integer vector

$$oldsymbol{w} = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_K)^T$$

of length K + 1 such that  $\mathbf{1}_{K+1}^T \boldsymbol{w} = 0$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  is a vector of ones of the given dimension

• 
$$\mathbf{Y}' = \mathbf{Y} + d\mathbf{v}\mathbf{w}_{\setminus 0}^T$$

- for computational convenience, the set of possible  $\boldsymbol{w}$  is restricted to those for which  $\sum_{k=0}^{K} |w_k| = 2$
- the procedure is then equivalent to selecting at random  $k_1, k_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, K\}$ ,  $k_1 \neq k_2$

 $\diamond$  adding  $doldsymbol{v}$  to  $oldsymbol{y}_{k_1}$ 

 $\diamond$  subtracting  $doldsymbol{v}$  from  $oldsymbol{y}_{k_2}$ 

• a simple extension of the binomial algorithm

GOF test statistics and p-values for pregnancy outcome

|           | Observed      |    | Asymptotic | Estimated           |
|-----------|---------------|----|------------|---------------------|
|           | statistic     | df | p-value    | exact p-value*      |
| Model (4) | $L^2 = 40.00$ | 41 | 0.5150     | $0.8200 \pm 0.0037$ |
|           | $X^2 = 39.83$ | 41 | 0.5226     | $0.7478 \pm 0.0063$ |
| Model (5) | $L^2 = 42.27$ | 41 | 0.4159     | $0.5293 \pm 0.0170$ |
|           | $X^2 = 43.11$ | 41 | 0.3811     | $0.3849 \pm 0.0201$ |
| Model (2) | $L^2 = 32.06$ | 32 | 0.4638     | $0.5813 \pm 0.0114$ |
|           | $X^2 = 32.18$ | 32 | 0.4576     | $0.4633 \pm 0.0128$ |

\* with approximate 99% confidence interval

# DISCUSSION

- proposed MH algorithm
  - ♦ intuitive and easy to construct
  - $\diamond$  extremely efficient for exact inference
  - however, the resulting Markov chain is not necessarily irreducible!!
- MCMC estimated p-values have been in good agreement with the enumerated p-values

# DISCUSSION ...

- Markov chains seem to mix well
- an indication of good connectivity is stable p
   as the number of possible moves, determined by r,
   is increased
- how important is irreducibility in practice?
- if chain *not* irreducible, conditioning is *also* on being in a particular reduced component of the sample space